



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,299	04/16/2004	Joseph H. Matthews III	003797.00923	7755
22907 7590 01/11/2008 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER HUYNH, BA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/825,299

Applicant(s)

MATTHEWS ET AL.

Examiner

Ba Huynh

Art Unit

2179

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ba Huynh. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Brisnhan. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 58, 59, 68, 69 and 76.

Identification of prior art discussed: Etheredge.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see attached interview agenda. The limitation "application program" is read on by at least Etheredge's teaching of options 1, 2, 5 and 6. The limitation of 'display menu information in a different manner' is read on at least in col. 13, lines 44-45 and figure 4.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

BA HUYNH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

**Joseph H. Matthews III**

Serial No.: 10/825,299

Filed: April 16, 2004

For: User Friendly Remote System Interface

Atty. Docket No.: 003797.00923

Group Art Unit: 2719

Examiner: Huynh, Ba

Confirmation No.: 7755

**PROPOSED AGENDA FOR REQUESTED INTERVIEW**

In response to the Examiner's request (received by telephone on January 3, 2008) for same, the undersigned respectfully submits the following proposed agenda for a requested telephone interview:

1. Obtain clarification from the Examiner regarding the pending rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Specifically, discuss the rejected claim language of claims 69 and 76, and the written description provided in Figure 8 and p. 22, l. 5 – p. 23, l. 2 of the specification as originally filed.
2. Obtain clarification from the Examiner regarding the pending rejection of claim 58 under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Specifically, discuss with the Examiner the disclosure of Etheredge in contrast to the following features of claim 58:
  - “display[ing] a menu comprising choices of at least one application program on a computer”
  - “launching on the computer [an] application program associated with [a selected] corresponding numeric accelerator”
3. Obtain clarification from the Examiner regarding the pending rejection of claims 59 and 68 under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Specifically, discuss with the Examiner the disclosure of Etheredge in contrast to the features of these dependent claims.

4. Discuss possible claim amendments for overcoming prior art of record. Specifically, see the attached Example Proposed Claim Amendment For Discussion.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian J. Brisnehan  
Registration No. 60,462

January 4, 2008

Banner & Witcoff, LTD.  
1100 13<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 824-3324  
Fax: (202) 824-3001

Enclosure: Example Proposed Claim Amendment For Discussion

\*\*\* FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY -- NOT FOR ENTRY INTO RECORD \*\*\*

**Example Proposed Claim Amendments For Discussion**

62. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 6758, wherein the ~~receiving generation of at least one of said first signal, said second signal, and said third signal causes said third means to switch from a first mode of operation to a second mode of operation, wherein switching to the second mode of operation comprises configuring the at least one application program on the computer to provide an expanded user interface and to receive input from at least one of a keyboard and a mouse.~~

67. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 58 wherein the first mode is a theater mode ~~wherein a display is enhanced in which the at least one application program on the computer is configured to provide a limited user interface and to receive input from a remote control device.~~

69. (Currently Amended) A method for controlling computer functions comprising:  
receiving a first signal indicating that a menu should be displayed on a display device coupled to a computer; and  
receiving a second signal after the first signal is received, said second signal being associated with an application program;  
executing on the computer said application program in response to said second signal;  
and  
displaying on the display device a menu responsive to said first signal, wherein said menu is displayed only after said application is executed.